

The Macroeconomic and Productivity Effects of Structural Reforms

Aiello Giovanni Bocconi University Cacciatore Matteo HEC Montréal di Mauro Filippo European Central Bank Fiori Giuseppe North Carolina State University Ghironi Fabio University of Washington Mengano Paolo European Central Bank

"Structural and cyclical policies – including monetary policy – are heavily interdependent. Structural reforms increase both potential output and the resilience of the economy to shocks. This makes structural reforms relevant for any central bank, but especially in a monetary union." (Mario Draghi, Sintra, May 22, 2015)

We study the effects of structural reforms in product and labor markets in EU

 For space reason we present only results on product market reform

Using CompNet data, we compare empirical results to the predictions of the model developed in: Cacciatore and Fiori, 2010 (CF) and Cacciatore, Fiori, and Ghironi, 2013 (CFG1), 2015 (CFG2)

In addition to effects on domestic macro aggregates, we focus on the consequences of reforms for:

- 1. External balances and international relative prices
- 2. Firm profitability
- 3. Aggregate and firm-level productivity

Empirical Methodology

 Given the vector y_{it} of endogenous variables of interest, we estimate the reduced-form, co-integrated panel VAR(2);

 $\Delta y_{it} = A_i \Delta y_{t-1} + B_i \Delta y_{t-2} + \Delta g_t + u_{it} , \qquad i=1,\ldots,n \ and \ t=1,\ldots,T \ ,$

- ∆ denotes first difference, gt is a vector of year fixed effects, and uit is the vector of reduced-form shocks.
- Estimation in first-differences captures the consequences of permanent shocks to the levels of market regulation
- Annual data come from the CompNet Sample with 16 EU countries over a period of 12 years
- The data are detrended by HP filter
- · Variables are ordered recursively for identification
- Macro variables are deflated by HICP

www.ecb.europa.eu ©

External Balances and Competitiveness

 Next, we augment the VAR by including current account (in levels), terms of trade, imports, and exports (in red: baseline VAR responses):



- Immediate improvement in current account and lower terms of trade (depreciation)
 Apparent conflict with CFG1&2 results, but different empirical measure of PMR can explain
- · Initial decrease of both imports and exports, followed by recovery
- Imports display a larger initial decrease and slower recovery, explaining improvement in the current account
- Contraction in employment, income (GDP), and investment result in immediate decreases of both exports and imports
- Exports recover more quickly as firms adjust to more competitive terms of trade

Aggregate and Firm-Level Productivity

 Finally, we examine the effect of PMR on productivity and the allocation of resources by augmenting the baseline VAR with the inclusion of the labor and capital Olley-Pakes gaps (covariance between firm size and productivity) and the median TFP



- Reallocation effect (increase in both L-OPgap and K-OPgap):
 Exit of least productive firms due to tougher competition leads to reallocation of resources: Surviving firms are the most productive ones, and they absorb capital and labor as their market share increases
- Increase in productivity:

Exit of least productive firms from the domestic market and exit of least productive exporters from the export market imply an increase in average firm-level productivity, and, therefore, an increase in aggregate productivity (FG2)

Theoretical Background

- DSGE model with endogenous producer entry and search-and-matching labor market frictions
- · Sunk entry costs incorporate bureaucratic barriers to business creation
- · Product market reform (PMR) results in increased investment in firm and product creation
- GDP falls initially as consumption and capital investment decrease to devote more resources to business creation, and because competition puts pressure on incumbent firms to downsize
- In CF, the latter effect induces firms to shed less productive workers immediately, while
 positive effects on employment take some time to materialize as the number of firms
 increases gradually
- Inflation and, over time, the larger number of producers result in lower markups (the second effect only in CF and CFG1), and eventually in a recovery of GDP and employment
- Higher business creation is associated with an initial current account deficit, and upward
 pressure on labor demand induces also a terms of trade appreciation (CFG1&2)
- Reforms result in a more efficient use of resources (in terms of aggregate productivity), as they cause average firm productivity and average exporter productivity to rise (CFG2)

www.ecb.europa.eu ©

PCM_p50

Baseline VAR

- The baseline VAR includes only three variables in addition to the measure of market regulation, namely: unemployment, investment, and GDP
- · Impulse responses to PMR (growth rates):



PMR has **contractionary effects** on employment, investment in physical capital, and GDP in the short run, followed by recovery in the medium run

These results replicate the findings of CF's empirical exercise, and they are consistent with the theoretical intuitions above

Firm Profitability

To investigate whether PMR yields a pro-competitive effect, we return to the baseline VAR, but we now augment it by including the *price-cost margin*: pcm = (*p-c*)/p

· Median (p50) of the whole sample

- PMR yields an immediate pro-competitive effect in the form of lower pcm
 This is consistent with effect of PMR on markups in the sticky-price
- models of CFG1&2 • It is also in line with the competitive effect of lower entry barriers through an increase in the number of producers, which erodes firm market power (CF and CFG1)

· Median in tradable vs. non-tradable sectors

- The pro-competitive effect of PMR is stronger in the **non-tradable** sector
 Tradable producers already face competition from foreign exporters
- Hence, the competition effect of an increase in the number of producers
- is larger in the non-tradable sector
- First and third quartile (p25 and p75) of the whole sample
 The pro-competitive effect of PMR is strong <u>only</u> on the firms with relatively higher market power
- Firms with low market power already set prices very close to marginal cost and cannot afford even lower margins

Conclusions

- Structural reform of product markets has a contractionary impact on the economy in the short term despite being expansionary in the medium term
- The current account improves and the terms of trade depreciate, but both imports and exports fall because of the initial contractionary effect, and they recover after the first few years
- Product market deregulation has a pro-competitive effect by lowering markups and market power. This effect is stronger in the non-tradable sector and on firms with high market power
- Lowering barrier to producer entry results in a better allocation of resources and an improvement of productivity
- Most results are consistent with the models in Cacciatore and Fiori (2010) and Cacciatore, Fiori, and Ghironi (2013, 2015)
 - Apparent departure: External balances and terms of trade, explained by difference between empirical PMR and model
- 6. No evidence of a short-term contractionary effect after labor market reform