

EUROSYSTEM



1. General Information CR raised by: T2S Project Team	Institute: E0	∩B	Date raised: 09/10/08
CR raised by: 12S Project Team Institute: E Change Request title: Correct editorial mistakes			
			CR ref. no: T2S URD 0083 (T2S-URD V4-TYP-01)
Change Request Classification: Typo		Status: Approved by AG	
(Typo, Consistency, Clarification, Substance)			
Change Type: Modification		Requestor Category: T2S Project Team	
(New Requirement/Modification/Deletion)		(User, 3CBPlus, ECB T2S Project Team)	
Chapter Number/Annexe Number		Req No: (If applicable)	
Annex 14			
Priority (S,H,M,L): ∟		Proposed implementation date/Release: Version 4.1	
Description of requested change:		1	
Correct editorial mistakes			
Reason for change and expected benef			
There are some typographical errors in th	e URD, which ne	eds to be corrected.	
	e URD, which ne	eds to be corrected.	
There are some typographical errors in th	e URD, which ne	eds to be corrected.	
There are some typographical errors in th	e URD, which ne	eds to be corrected.	
There are some typographical errors in th	e URD, which ne emantically and g	eds to be corrected.	
There are some typographical errors in the the URD, would ensure that the URD is se	e URD, which ne emantically and g	eds to be corrected.	
There are some typographical errors in the the URD, would ensure that the URD is se	e URD, which ne emantically and g	eds to be corrected.	
There are some typographical errors in the the URD, would ensure that the URD is set	e URD, which ne emantically and g s:	eds to be corrected.	
There are some typographical errors in the the URD, would ensure that the URD is se	e URD, which ne emantically and g s:	eds to be corrected.	
There are some typographical errors in the the URD, would ensure that the URD is set Submitted annexes / related documents Proposed wording for the Change Require Part 5.1: This entity would have the resp	e URD, which ne emantically and g s: s:	eeds to be corrected. prammatically correct,	and is unambigous to the reader.
There are some typographical errors in th the URD, would ensure that the URD is se Submitted annexes / related documents	e URD, which ne emantically and g s: s:	eeds to be corrected. prammatically correct,	and is unambigous to the reader.
There are some typographical errors in the the URD, would ensure that the URD is set Submitted annexes / related documents Proposed wording for the Change Require Part 5.1: This entity would have the resp	e URD, which ne emantically and g s: s:	eeds to be corrected. prammatically correct,	and is unambigous to the reader.
There are some typographical errors in the the URD, would ensure that the URD is set Submitted annexes / related documents Proposed wording for the Change Require Part 5.1: This entity would have the resp	e URD, which ne emantically and g s: s:	eeds to be corrected. prammatically correct,	and is unambigous to the reader.
There are some typographical errors in the the URD, would ensure that the URD is set Submitted annexes / related documents Proposed wording for the Change Requested Part 5.1: This entity would have the response settled. Outcome of meetings:	e URD, which ne emantically and g s: s:	eeds to be corrected. prammatically correct,	and is unambigous to the reader.
There are some typographical errors in the the URD, would ensure that the URD is set Submitted annexes / related documents Proposed wording for the Change Requences Part 5.1: This entity would have the respective settled. Outcome of meetings: * SG meeting on 3 Nov 2008:	e URD, which ne emantically and g s: uest: ponsibility to ente	eeds to be corrected. prammatically correct,	and is unambigous to the reader.
There are some typographical errors in the the URD, would ensure that the URD is set Submitted annexes / related documents Proposed wording for the Change Requence Part 5.1: This entity would have the respective settled. Outcome of meetings: * SG meeting on 3 Nov 2008: Recommendation to the AG: Approva	e URD, which ne emantically and g s: uest: ponsibility to ente	eeds to be corrected. prammatically correct,	and is unambigous to the reader.
There are some typographical errors in the the URD, would ensure that the URD is set Submitted annexes / related documents Proposed wording for the Change Requences Part 5.1: This entity would have the respective settled. Outcome of meetings: * SG meeting on 3 Nov 2008:	e URD, which ne emantically and g s: uest: ponsibility to ente	eeds to be corrected. prammatically correct,	and is unambigous to the reader.