"The rise of generative AI: modeling exposure, substitution, and inequality effects on the US labour market"

by Raphael Auer, David Köpfer, Josef Ŝvéda

Discussion by
Omar Rachedi
Esade Business School

The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on the Macroeconomy and Monetary Policy Madrid — October 24, 2024

This Paper: Question/Approach

To what extent—and how—will Al improvements impact the labor market?

This Paper: Question/Approach

To what extent—and how—will Al improvements impact the labor market?

- Leverages O*NET and ChatGPT to measure AI capabilities for wide range of skills
- Maps skills into tasks, occupations, and industry
- Derives the amount of skills that AI can replace
- Disentangles the total impact effect between core skills and side skills
- Measures impact of Al improvements on substitutability of skills across occupations over income distribution

O*NET Data Example: Skills/Abilities of Economists

Definition

O*NET Data Example: Skills/Abilities of Economists

Definition

| Skills/Abilities | Economist |
|--|------------------------|
| Written comprehension (level) Oral comprehension (level) | 5.00/5.00 4.88/5.00 |
| Originality (importance) | 3.12/5.00 |
| Social perceptiveness (level) | 3.00/5.00 |
| Negotiation (level) | 2.50/5.00 |
| Stamina (level) | 0.00/5.00 |
| | |

O*NET Data Example: Skills/Abilities of Economists vs. Environmental Economists

Definition

| Skills/Abilities | Economist | Environmental Economist |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| Written comprehension (level) | 5.00/5.00 | 4.88/5.00 |
| Oral comprehension (level) | 4.88/5.00 | 4.88/5.00 |
| Originality (importance) | 3.12/5.00 | 4.00/5.00 |
| Social perceptiveness (level) | 3.00/5.00 | 3.12/5.00 |
| Negotiation (level) | 2.50/5.00 | 2.75/5.00 |
| Stamina (level) | 0.00/5.00 | 0.00/5.00 |

O*NET Data Example: Skills/Abilities of Economists vs. Environmental Economists

Definition

| Skills/Abilities | Economist | Environmental Economist |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| Written comprehension (level) | 5.00/5.00 | 4.88/5.00 |
| Oral comprehension (level) | 4.88/5.00 | 4.88/5.00 |
| Originality (importance) | 3.12/5.00 | 4.00/5.00 |
| Social perceptiveness (level) | 3.00/5.00 | 3.12/5.00 |
| Negotiation (level) | 2.50/5.00 | 2.75/5.00 |
| Stamina (level) | 0.00/5.00 | 0.00/5.00 |

Asking ChatGPT 4o

nowadays central banks have clear mandates. does it mean that with future improvements in AI we can fire almost all central bankers and run monetary policy mainly through AI tools? Which type of tasks will most likely disappear? Answer in less that 100 words please, I need it for a presentation slide

With AI advancements, central banks could automate tasks like data analysis, economic forecasting, and routine policy simulations. These functions, which rely heavily on processing vast datasets and running models, could be done more efficiently by AI. However, tasks involving crisis management, judgment in uncertain conditions, and balancing political and ethical considerations will still require human oversight. While AI may reduce the need for technical roles, strategic and high-level decision-making by central bankers will likely remain essential.

This Paper: Findings

- ullet Low AI ability o 7% AI exposure for skills, uniform across income
 - ▶ Low-income: large exposure, but tasks not so important
 - ▶ High-income: low exposure, but tasks are important
- \bullet High AI ability \rightarrow 26% exposure for low-income jobs + 45% exposure for high-income jobs
 - Exposure for low-income jobs is mainly for core skills
 - ▶ Exposure for high-income jobs is mainly for side skills
- Al is complementary to high-income jobs and substitutable for low-income jobs

My Take on the Paper

 Very nice paper with a novel and clever way to measure AI exposure of skills/tasks/ occupations at different levels of AI capabilities

• Raphael, David, and Josef uncover that Al will actually exacerbate inequality

• Results line up with findings of Albanesi, Dias da Silva, Jimeno, Lamo, and Wabitsch (2024)

My Take on the Paper

 Very nice paper with a novel and clever way to measure AI exposure of skills/tasks/ occupations at different levels of AI capabilities

Raphael, David, and Josef uncover that Al will actually exacerbate inequality

- Results line up with findings of Albanesi, Dias da Silva, Jimeno, Lamo, and Wabitsch (2024)
- Analysis pictures the current situation. I wonder to what extent we can use measurement of "local changes" in Al capabilities, especially for this type of technology

Narrative of the Paper

- Today medical doctors have a wide array of non-core tasks, from writing up their reports, admin, bureaucracy, etc. In doing this, medical doctors are helped by medical assistants
- These side tasks take time away from medical doctors' core tasks, which actually determine the value of the health services
- High Al capabilities will help doctors to do side tasks easily:
 - "Al Dr. Siri: write up the report summarizing everything I just told the patient, and email to the hospital admin. Also book the next relevant appointments, finding the best time in my schedule"
- Al crowds out medical assistants, and boosts the time medical doctors devote to core tasks
- Al as a super-charged income polarization phenomenon

We May Need More Medical Assistants and Less Medical Doctors



The Real Al Threat

- Paper considers AI effect based on current computer interaction of each task
- Yet, Al is a type of technology which can substantially alter the notion of computer interaction
- If so, we should expect:
 - ▶ First phase in which AI is complementary to high-income jobs
 - Second phase in which AI may be complementary to social-intensive high-income jobs, but replaces all other high-income jobs

Monetary Policy Implications

- Current setting derives a measure of complementarity/substitutability which can be important for slope of Phillips curve
- Later on, my coauthor will show that higher automation curtails workers' bargaining power, flattening the wage Phillips curve
- This measurement approach can help understand in which industries/geographies this effect will be stronger

Monetary Policy Implications

- Current setting derives a measure of complementarity/substitutability which can be important for slope of Phillips curve
- Later on, my coauthor will show that higher automation curtails workers' bargaining power, flattening the wage Phillips curve
- This measurement approach can help understand in which industries/geographies this
 effect will be stronger
- Fornaro and Wolf (2022) argue that monetary policy can alter automation (Al investment) decisions
- If so, a out-of-sample (prediction) algorithm can help figure out this effect
- May also be relevant to think about changes in education system

Thank you!